


My Response to the Sep. 2022 Daily Dot article about me

June 24, 2026

I was hoping to just forget about the Leftist media attack on me back in September 2022, but it has come up again. It's time for me to make a point-by-point rebuttal.

First, I must give credit where credit is due. The writer - Claire Goforth of DailyDot.com - accurately quoted me throughout the article, so no problem there. The lies come through omission of context. Context can be the difference between the truth and a lie. Let's take a look at the article, piece by piece. First, here is the link to the original article so you can judge for yourself: <https://dailydot.com/stephen-clay-mcgehee-florida-campaign-finance>.



Am I “pro-Confederacy”? Yes, absolutely. No question about it. I have the deepest respect for those who sought an independent Confederate States of America. Don't like it? Too bad. Did I write a manual for how to properly display the Confederate flag? Yes, and I take pride in that fact.

Am I a “White supremacist”? Well, tell me precisely what that means. If it means Whites should rule over other races or groups of people, then no. I don't want any group to rule over or dominate any other group. The Left would prefer to just not define that term so they can apply it whenever it is convenient.

A simple web search for his name brings up websites where he says there is “very clear evidence that efforts to destroy our people and our culture are consistently traced back to Jews, and that Blacks have built an entire business model of playing the victim with our people as the villain.”

Look into those activities and institutions that are corrosive to a civil society, see who is in the top leadership positions, and learn their background. Look up the top names in the pornography industry and learn their background. Yes, it is individuals and not the race that is doing this, but if “anti-Semitism” is being used as a defense against criticism, then they own it whether it is to their benefit or not. It is not coincidence, and it is not random. Facts, not feelings.

message on Gab and the contact forms on three of his websites. He did [post](#) an image of the Daily Dot's inquiry to his Gab page and said that he could not be racist because he supports Christian missionaries in Africa, could not be an anti-Semite just because he refuses to "give Jews a free pass on criticizing anything that Jews control, no matter how destructive it may be" and can't be a Nazi supporter because he blocked 51 accounts who were mad at him for making fun of Hitler.

...“because he supports Christian missionaries in Africa” makes it sound as though I toss a couple of dollars in an offering plate. The article neglects to mention being vice-president of a non-profit corporation supporting Christian missionaries in Sierra Leone, West Africa - an interesting position for an alleged “racist”. As for being a “Nazi supporter,” I challenge anyone to come up with anything I have ever written that supports Nazi, neo-Nazi, Hitler, National Socialism, or Fascism. Do they sometimes get something right? Yes, and I suspect that even communists get something right on occasion. That certainly doesn't mean that I support any of those as a whole. If they get something right, then it's right regardless of who they are, and I will support something if it is right.

race-based executions or imprisonment. He just thinks that communities should be able to vote to become racially, religiously, or ethnically homogenous—with some exceptions.

“If a city in Minnesota votes to become a Muslim-only city (Sharia law could not, however, supersede U.S. law), they would have that right,” he writes in [a section](#) of one of his sites called “radical freedom of association.”

“And if some areas vote to become a white-only area, that, too, would be perfectly OK.”

Yes, it is “Radical Freedom of Association,” and anyone can read the entire essay here - https://www.lewrockwell.com/2018/11/no_author/radical-freedom-of-association/ , or on my

TheCivilRight.org website. It's called *freedom of choice* - an interesting idea that the Left might want to consider looking into sometime, but probably not. I believe that people should be able to live and work and do business with whoever they want - without the government forcing them. In that essay, I also said, "If parts of New York vote to be exclusively Jewish, or Chicago or Detroit or Atlanta vote to become Black-only, again, no problem." Forcing different groups of people to live together hasn't exactly worked out very well, has it? The poet, Robert Frost, said it well: "Good fences make good neighbors."

view of white southerners and southern culture founded in the Jim Crow era. Even within his musings about fountain pens and backyard chickens, you'll find references to things like the [Nazi motto](#) "blood and soil."

"Southern Agrarianism is a *Blood and Soil* movement," he [wrote](#).

Let me quote from the home page of my southernagrarian.com site: "Southern Agrarianism is a Blood and Soil movement – it takes in two of the most basic concepts in all of history: Our People, and the soil that provides the food that feeds our people."

The words *blood and soil* do not belong to a German political party from the 1930's, and I will use those words if they convey the meaning that I want to convey. The Left uses "Nazi" as a catch-all for anyone they don't agree with - I'm not playing that game.

and VDare. His website [lists](#) the neo-Nazi VDare as a page that "generally (but not always) reflect[s] my beliefs."

Calling VDare a "neo-Nazi" site is just plain laughable. VDare is an anti-immigration site, and I defy anyone to find anything "neo-Nazi" about it. VDare was named after Virginia Dare - the first child of English parents, born in America. In fact, in another article (<https://dailydot.com/vdare-white-nationalists-peter-lydia-brimelow-berkeley-castle>) also written by Claire GoForth in which she attacks VDare, she makes no mention of it being a "neo-Nazi" site.

McGehee doesn't simply follow such accounts, he often reshapes their content. Some of these posts praise Nazis and Hitler, deride Jewish and Black people, and urge women to be subservient to men.

As for re-sharing posts that "praise Nazis and Hitler, deride Jewish and Black people, and urge women to be subservient to men," let's take a look at this shocking example that Claire Goforth used in the article:

Stephen Clay McGehee reposted



Sean Curtis Roland @SeanRoland48

Aug 29 · 🌐 · Traditional Lifestyle

Kick out the jews and their smut peddling.

(National Socialist, the political party of traditional family values.)

One of the very first laws enacted under Hitler's rule, was the outlawing of jewish propagated pornography.

Our societies have become cesspools of perversions and our son's and daughters must be liberated from them. [#outlawjewishpornography](#) #110

How far have we truly fallen, when our daughters have been taught and accepted that to be desired of a man you must first show him your naked body? Our Aryan daughters without much thought send nudes to boys that they barely even know. Before she even gets the prospect of a marriage proposal, potentially hundreds of men have already seen her naked.

THIS MUST CHANGE



One does not have to be a neo-Nazi or a Hitler fan to appreciate the wisdom in this meme. I'm sure the Left must be absolutely horrified by this, but traditionalists such as myself see clean living and modesty as good, and sexual immorality as bad. If that makes me an evil bigot in their eyes, then so be it. I'm not going to plug my ears and close my eyes to truth just because of who is speaking those words, and I'll gladly share that with others.



Let's take a look at this meme included in the Daily Dot article that is also apparently horrifying to the Left. Supporting European Whites, "Say No to Anti-White Fashion", "Count our Blessings Not our Faults"? So, those are now considered a bad thing?

In 2016, a Twitter account in his name that has the same profile picture McGehee uses across the web [tweeted](#), "White Western European culture is all about building and maintaining a clean society. Blacks destroy things."

This one is a classic case of pulling a quote out of context. Yes, that is an accurate quote written by me. What's missing is the post and the photo (the photo is no longer on-line that I can find) showing a group of Whites - ordinary folks - cleaning up following a night of rioting and destruction.



He refers to the Civil War as the “War for Southern Independence” and frequently posts photos of the Confederate flag. He says the flag hangs above his central Florida home year-round. He also long ran a website called

If Claire Goforth had bothered to look up the definition of a “civil war,” she would find that it is a war in which two or more parties are fighting for control over the government. The South wanted to separate from the northern states, so no, it was not a “civil war”. It truly was a *War for Southern Independence*.

his central Florida home year-round. He also long ran a website called confederatecolonel.com where he shared [views](#) such as that his slave-owning ancestors weren't racist because they buried the humans they owned in the family cemetery.

“The idea of ‘separate but equal’ really comes into focus here,” he wrote in 2011.

If she understood something about cultural practices, she would know that burial customs say a lot about the deepest beliefs of people. In that post, I described the family cemetery, located on McGehee Mountain in Alabama, where slaves were buried inside the family cemetery, alongside the graves of my ancestors. Even a Leftist should be able to understand the significance of that. I also posted a photo of my grandfather, with his sawmill crew. Among the men in that photo was a Black man. He was the son of a former family slave - he chose to stay with the family long after the end of slavery.

The Daily Dot contacted multiple candidates in Florida and Kentucky. None of them responded to emails and inquiries about whether they were aware of McGehee's white supremacist views.

So, what became of all of this? Did The Daily Dot and all the other Leftist media outlets get their wish and put me out of business? No. I got exactly two phone calls from customers about this. In both cases, they were calling to check and make sure I was doing OK. I have the greatest bunch of customers anyone could hope for. I saw nothing that indicated I lost any customers due to this. They, unlike those behind this attack on me, are adults who understand that people have different views - including some very different views - and that's OK. That's how people with different views can work together in a smoothly functioning society by understanding and appreciating different viewpoints.

If The Daily Dot wants to take a victory lap, though, they can take comfort in the fact that because of this, I now have some family members who will no longer speak to me, think my views are

“disgusting”, and have basically disowned me. For someone who is very family-oriented, that’s a major hit, and it hurts. A lot. Congratulations, Daily Dot and Claire Goforth. I hope you’re proud of yourselves.

In the mean time, I apologize to no one for anything that I am being criticized for. I make no excuses, take nothing back, and my resolve has only been strengthened. In this age of wishy-washy virtue signaling, I will continue to say what I believe, take a stand for what is right, and hold my ground.

Not for Our time,
but for All time.
Not for All people,
but for Our people.

Stephen Clay McGehee

